### JD-CR-71 Rev. 3-11 SUPERIOR COURT Police Case number Agency name Agency number 2019-010749 **Darien Police Department** 035 Arrest Warrant Geographical State of Connecticut vs. Hardison, Joseph H. 3rd number To: Any Proper Officer of the State of Connecticut By Authority of the State of Connecticut, you are hereby commanded to arrest the body of the within-named accused. ("X" all that apply) A. Accused is ordered to be brought before a clerk or assistant clerk of the Superior Court. B. Accused is not entitled to bail. If A, B or both are checked above, you shall without undue delay bring the arrested person before the clerk or assistant clerk of the Superior Court for the geographical area where the offense is alleged to have been committed, or if the clerk's office is not open, to a community correctional center within said geographical area, or the nearest community correctional center if no such center exists in the geographical area, or to the Correctional Institution, as the case may be. Extradition boundaries established by prosecutor C. Bail set at D. Non-financial conditions of release: E. Conditions of release not determined by court. Signed (Judge of the Super Name of Judge (Print or type) By the Court Return On Arrest Warrant Town of Geographical **State of Connecticut** area arun number Then and there, by virtue of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, I arrested the body of the within-named accused and read the same in the hearing of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. Attest (Officer's signature and Department) Date Other Court action Judge

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Disposition date

INFORMATION

### ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

For Court Use Only				
Supporting Affidavits sealed				
Yes	☐ No			

.G.S. § 54	-2a -36-1, 36-2, 36-3	SUPERIOR COURT				Supporting Affidavits sealed  Yes No		
Police Case	· ·	Agency name www.jud.ct.gov				Agency n		
2019-01		Darien Police Department				035		
Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) Hardison, Joseph H. 3rd			Residence (Town) of accused  Darien		Court to be held at (  Darien		Geographical Area number	
Applic	ation For Arrest Wa	rrant						
o: A Ju	dge of the Superior Court	t						
	ersigned hereby applies f in the: 🏿 Affidavit Belo			amed accus	ed on the ba	sis of the	e facts	
ate	913-19 Signed (P	rissosing attnority)		Type/print nam	ne of prosecuting a	uthority		
Affidav		The state of the s			<i>(4 - 7 ( - 7 )</i>			
	ersigned affiant, being du	ly sworn, deposes and sa	ays:					
oast 11	the Affiant, Detective Jan years. During my tenure, ant is currently assigned t	I have conducted numer	_			-		
Darien. n the th urther i	I have recently been assig These incidents revolve a ree incidents I investigate information, please see ca In all three incidents the a	round staff at Darien Higed, the focus of the invest ses: 2017-013042 and 20	th School and all tigations were D 19-003399.	leged crimes HS coaches	committed t Robert and N	oy these Marjorie	staff mem Trifone. F	ibers. For
ent ema  Member  Hardison  neidents  ttempte  ommun  ffice. H	ion to the actual complain ails concerning these ever is, private citizens, numer in uses the above mentiones, and to request an indeped to involve himself in the ications to the Darien Polardison had no standing tents by the Darien BOE. The belligerent.	nts to a large email list co ous news media outlets ( ed cases, and additional e endent investigation be u the above referenced crimi lice Department, the Offi in any of the above cases	ntaining public a some of which a events, to express indertaken within anal investigation ice of States Atto except that the a	and elected or re out of stars this strong on the Darien or, making normey for GA allegations s	officials, Dar te), and other displeasure in School Distr umerous pho a-1, and the C upported his	ien Boar rs. In the n the han rict. Harc one calls Chief Sta claims o	d of Ed emails, adling of the dison furth and email ate's attorn of mishance	hese ner l ney's
o my in neidents OI prod isciplin xchango	first became aware of the vestigations. Much of the serferenced in the above ductions at the request of e information, and other sees are in regards to legal of the control of the sees are in regards to legal of the control of the sees are in regards to legal of the control of the sees are in regards to legal of the control of the sees are in regards to legal of the control of the sees are in regards to legal of the control of the sees are in regards to legal of the sees are in regards to lega	content references accus case numbers. There are Hardison and others. Son sensitive documents which	eations that the Deschanges of value of the product the Hardison uses	Parien Board rious docum tions include to support h	of Education ents in regard e personal int his standpoin	n mishan ds to san formatio t. Much	ndled the ne, to incl n, employ of the ema	ude ⁄ee ail
his is pag ate	ge 1 of a 3 page Affidavit.)	Sianed	I (Affiant)				<del></del>	
	8/15/17		Du	10				
urat	Subscribed and sworn to before m	Signed Signed	(Judge/Clerk, Commis	sioner of Superio	r Court, Notary Pu	blic)		
inding	g		5					
onsider	going Application for an a ed by the undersigned, th se has been committed a	e undersigned finds from	ո said affidavit(s)	that there is	s probable ca	use to b	elieve tha	and it

issuance of a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused.

Date and	Signed at (City or town)	On (Date)	Signed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee)	Name of Judge/Judge Trial Referee
Signature	2 milor	4-4-14	1 MXX	LAIHITE

#### ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11 C.G.S. § 54-2a Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT

www.jud.ct.gov

19.	

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Residence (Town) of accused	Court to be held at (Town)	Geographical	
Hardison, Joseph H. 3rd	Darien	Darien	Area number	1

### Affidavit - Continued

applicable FOI laws. Hardison also seems to be in possession of a large amount of documentation that was provided to me by the complainants in the above cases.

- 5. That on July 30<sup>th</sup>, 2019 I met with Robert and Marjorie Trifone, accompanied by their lawyer Mark Sherman, in regards to the above actions by Jay Hardison. The Rob and Marj explained to me that they felt they were being harassed and/or targeted by Jay Hardison in what they felt was an effort to have them terminated by the Darien School District. I informed the Trifones that I had been involved in all of the investigations and that Hardison's expression of his opinion in public was not a crime.
- 6. That Mark Sherman provided me with a copy of an email dated July 17th, 2019 that he had come into possession of. The Email came from Jay Hardison (hardison27@hotmail.com) and was addressed to over 60 recipients to include public and elected officials from both the town and State of CT, Darien Board of Ed Members past and present, private citizens, numerous media outlets from Darien, Connecticut, and New York to include: the Stamford Advocate, the Wall Street Journal, New York Post, Hartford Courant, the New Haven Register, and News Channel 12. I had also been a blind copy recipient of the email. The body of the email references an incident that Hardison refers to as "Money Laundering" where he accuses Robert Trifone of Larceny of funds (This incident has been fully investigated in case 2019-003399 and after consultation with the State's Attorney's office it was determined not crime had occurred). The email contains numerous attachments, one of which is titled "Doc 3 DCF report from 2017\_20190711\_001.pdf." The document appears to originate from an email sent to Hardison from a Louise Schmidt, who was the complainant in one of the incidents investigated as part of Case #2017-013042. The attachment is a PDF file of a DCF "CFS Report Narrative" dated July 19th, 2017. The document is the record of a DCF report made by Darien High School Principal Ellen Dunn. The narrative outlines the allegations made by Schmidt to Dunn in regards to her daughter's experience while on a girls swim team trip on September 4th, 2016. Although the original text of the document doesn't name any suspects, in this PDF the name "Marj Trifone" is hand written onto the document.
- 7. It was the opinion of Sherman that the dissemination of this document was a violation of Connecticut General Statute 17a-28; Confidentiality and Access to Records. The basis of this law being that DCF reports are confidential documents that contain personal information about reporting parties, victims, suspects, etc, and are only allegations at the time they are produced. The law attempts to protect that information save exceptions outlined in the law for legal dissemination.
- 8. That upon review of 17a-28; records can be released by DCF to a defined group of parties. Section (k) of the law outlines that if a written records are disclosed to an individual who is not the subject of the record, further dissemination should bear a stamp indicating its confidentiality and must be accompanied with written consent from the subject of the record. The consent must to whom the record was released and for what specific use (Attorney Sherman provided me with an example of a record cover sheet that warns against violation of 17a-28).
- 9. That on August 8th, 2019 I spoke with the Principal Attorney for the Department of Children and Families, Mark Feller. I gave Attn. Feller a brief description of the investigation I was conducting, and asked about the application of 17a-28 to the email dissemination by Hardison. Feller seemed to think that the only way Hardison could have come into possession of the DCF report is by way of FOI request to the Board of Education or from the subject/parent of subject of the report itself as these were two legal venues to disseminate the report. Feller stated that if the subject and/or the parent of the subject had provided the document to Hardison, this would be a legitimate distribution. He stated that the further dissemination of the

(This is pa	ge <b>2</b> of a <b>3</b> page Affidavit.)			
Date /	8/15/15		Signed (Affiant)	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before	me on (Date)	Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)	
Reviewed	desectifial Official)	Date 7:19	Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee)	Date 4 - 19
7/				

### ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11 C.G.S. § 54-2a Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

# STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT

19-10749

www.jud.ct.gov

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Residence (Town) of accused	Court to be held at (Town)	Coographical	
Hardison, Joseph H. 3rd	Darien	Darien	Area number	1

### **Affidavit - Continued**

document by Hardison was in violation of 17a-28, stating specifically that dissemination to public media outlets went against the confidentiality sought by the law. He told me the general "test" used is that dissemination to 3rd parties can only be made by the subject and/or the parent of the subject. Any further dissemination is prohibited.

- 10. That Jay Hardison had no legal standing to disseminate the confidential DCF document as he did in the above referenced email.
- 11. That probable cause exists to charge Joseph Hardison (DOB 9/15/1962) with violation of CGS 17a-28.

(This is page 3 of a 3 page Affidavit.)

Date

Signed (Affiant)

Lt.

Signed (Affiant)

Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)

Lt.

Reviewed (Prosefforial Afficial)

Date

Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee)

Date